

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

Yu-Ping Cheng, et al.,

NO. C 03-01299 JW

Plaintiff(s),

v.

**ORDER CORRECTING SCHEDULING
ORDER**

Infoloan, Inc., et al.,

Defendant(s).

On May 31, 2005, this Court issued a Scheduling Order (Docket Item No. 84). It has come to this Court's attention that that Order contains two typographical errors. Paragraph 7 states:

Any party objecting to the qualifications or proposed testimony of an expert must file, serve and notice a motion to exclude the expert or any portion of the expert's testimony in writing in accordance with Civil Local Rule 7-2, for hearing no later than *Monday, May 8, 2005* at 9:00 a.m.

(Emphasis added.) Paragraph 8 states:

If the testimony of the expert is intended solely to contradict or rebut opinion testimony on the same subject matter identified by another party, the party proffering a rebuttal expert shall make the disclosures required by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2)(B), no later than *March 20, 2005*.

(Emphasis added.)

In both of these paragraphs, "2005" should read "2006."

Dated: June 9, 2005

/s/James Ware

JAMES WARE

United States District Judge

03cv1299am-sch-ord

1 **THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:**

2 David A. Kays r fwk@r fwk.com
3 David S. Lin dlinlaw@sbcglobal.net
4 Mark B. Fredkin mfredkin@mffmlaw.com

5 **Dated: June 9, 2005**

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

6 **By: /s/JWchambers**

Ronald L. Davis

Courtroom Deputy